

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Digital Repository

Library Information Technology Services
Publications

Library Information Technology Services

2004

Libraries in the USA as Traditional and Virtual ‘Third Places’

Karen G. Lawson

Iowa State University, klawson@iastate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/libit_pubs



Part of the [Library and Information Science Commons](#)

The complete bibliographic information for this item can be found at http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/libit_pubs/2. For information on how to cite this item, please visit <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/howtocite.html>.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Library Information Technology Services at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Library Information Technology Services Publications by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

Libraries in the USA as Traditional and Virtual ‘Third Places’

Abstract

Traditional “third places” provide physical places for human contact and social experience outside of the home or workplace/school. Institutions as disparate as fitness centers, libraries, and beauty salons are examples of third places: locations where people gather and often talk about things that are important to them. Libraries have a long tradition of connectedness and community that has put them in the forefront of traditional third places. As library Web sites are created and evolve, the sense of place provided by physical third places will become increasingly important online. Much about connectedness and community online can be learned from the concept of third places and their importance in real life and in cyberspace. The traditions inherent in libraries as physical third places provide predictions, projections, and inspirations for continued good service in the online presence of libraries.

Disciplines

Library and Information Science

Comments

This article is from *New Library World* 105, no. 3/4 (2004): 125–130, doi:[10.1108/03074800410526758](https://doi.org/10.1108/03074800410526758).

Rights

This article is © Emerald Group Publishing and permission has been granted for this version to appear here (http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/libit_pubs/2/). Emerald does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

ABSTRACT

Traditional “third places” provide physical places for human contact and social experience outside of the home or workplace/school. Institutions as disparate as fitness centers, libraries, and beauty salons are examples of third places: locations where people gather and often talk about things that are important to them. Libraries have a long tradition of connectedness and community that has put them in the forefront of traditional third places. As library websites are created and evolve, the sense of place provided by physical third places will become increasingly important on-line. Much about connectedness and community on-line can be learned from the concept of third places and their importance in real life and in cyberspace. The traditions inherent in libraries as physical third places provide predictions, projections, and inspirations for continued good service in the on-line presence of libraries.

Traditional “Third Places”

In his book, *The Great Good Place*, Oldenburg (1989, p.16) describes community gathering places he calls “third places.” A “third place” is not home (the “first place”) and it is not work/school (the “second place”). It is a place where anyone can go to socialize. Some of the most common third places that Oldenburg lists in his book are cafes, coffee shops, community centers, bars, beauty parlors, general stores, and other “hangouts.” These institutions lend a public balance to people’s home and work lives.

Third places and the power of informal life have long been an important part of the culture of the United States. The inns of colonial society were transformed into the saloons and general stores that sprung up with the country’s westward expansion. Later came the soda fountains, coffee shops, and diners, which, along with the local post office, were centrally located and provided, along with churches, the social anchors of community life. The local library became another important foundation of the community and also served as a third place.

Third places are crucial to a community for a number of reasons. They are distinctive informal gathering places where people feel at home. Third places nourish relationships and a diversity of human contact by helping to create a “sense of place” and community. They often invoke a sense of civic pride while providing opportunities for serendipity, companionship, and relaxation after long day at work or school: they make life more colorful. Third places, in their highest form, enrich public life and democracy.

There are essential ingredients to a well-functioning third place. They must be free or quite inexpensive. They must be highly accessible to neighborhoods so that people find it easy to make the place a regular part of their routine. They should be a place where a number of people regularly go, and where they feel welcome and comfortable. According to Oldenburg (1989, p.284), World War II marks the historical juncture after which informal public life began to decline in the United States. Old neighborhoods and their cafes, taverns, and corner stores have fallen to urban renewal, freeway expansion, and planning that discounts the importance of congenial, unified, and vital neighborhoods. Newer neighborhoods have developed under the single-use zoning imperative that often makes these critical, informal, social gathering places illegal. He believes the loss of third places is a statement on the loss of community in the United States.

Suburbia

Every generation attempts to reinvent society to take advantage of the inventions available to its age. Following World War II, the post war economic boom and growth of disposable income in the United States increased the demand for mass production of the automobile. The desire to travel easily became widespread and there was political and economic pressure to expand the national road network. Kunstler (1993, p.86) makes this statement in his book the *Geography of Nowhere*: “There was nothing like it before in history: a machine that promised liberation from the daily bondage of place. And in a

free country like the United States, the automobile came as a blessing.” Kunstler goes on to describe how in the early days of motoring, people could not foresee the multiple problems that the automobile could cause: major shifts in the landscape, air pollution, and even of culture in general. Cars were simply wonderful accessories that would make the world a better place.

Young people who had suffered through the Depression and WWII took great advantage of the post-War economic boom. Post-WWII prosperity and the ensuing marriage and baby boom, combined with people’s preference for space, called for a place to live that would support a lifestyle aimed at family orientation and tranquility. In many instances, this caused communities to be built quickly and with a minimum of input from the people who would eventually inhabit them. They were largely constructed for a homogeneous group of people and tended to use homogeneous architecture.

For the young families that first inhabited them the suburbs were in many ways the idyll they wished for. There were many young children to play with each other and up-to-date school buildings supported the growing education system. The houses were accompanied by yards for swing sets, swimming pools and barbeques. The early suburbs were often built out to the edge of town, so a child or adult had an easy walk to the countryside. Construction was constant, giving a sense of building and growing. The song of the ice cream truck, the uncomplicated bike rides, the corner Mom and Pop grocery store – what happened? In *Bourgeois Utopias: the Rise and Fall of Suburbia*, Fishman (1987) makes us keenly aware that modern, class-segregated suburbs

represented a total transformation of urban values. Unlike the pre-modern city, where workplaces and residences were integrated, suburbia is a middle-class invention where residents paid psychological and sociological prices for their new idyll. The authors of *Suburban Nation: the Rise and the Decline of the American Dream* (2000) contend that building practices that have produced sprawling subdivisions, shopping centers and office parks connected by new highways have not only destroyed the traditional concept of the neighborhood, but eroded such vital social values as equality, citizenship and personal safety.

Suburban sprawl, spreading across the landscape has had a great impact on society and individual behavior. Sprawl causes enormous waste, frustration, and long-term costs by depleting land and resources. Another major dilemma for suburbia is its inhabitants' dependence on the automobile. Solomon (1988, p.63) writes: “Work, commerce, and dwelling in suburbia are typically separate and linked only by automobile” and “More than ever, hours of vacuous motorized torture are required to get from one place to the next...worst of all, this new, sanitized, anti-urban world is a place of diminished insight.”

Dependency on the automobile intensifies these problems, while increasing pollution, congestion, and social isolation. In *The Experience of Place*, Hiss states:

Our relationship with the places we know and meet up with – where you are right now; and where you've been earlier today; and wherever you'll be in another few hours – is a close bond, intricate in nature, and not abstract, not remote at all...Overdevelopment and urban sprawl can damage our own lives as much as they damage our cities and countryside (Hiss, 1990, p.xi-xii).

Oldenburg concurs with this sentiment:

American lifestyles, for all the material acquisition and seeking after comforts and pleasures, are plagued by boredom, loneliness, alienation,

and a high price tag (Oldenburg, 1989, p.13)

The tranquility and safety that were eagerly sought by post-war suburbanites have frequently been sacrificed for the sake of more roads, malls (instead of local shops), and cars that cause congestion and distance between people.

Libraries as Traditional and Transitional Third Places

Twentieth century libraries are excellent examples of great good places. For purposes of this article, the emphasis will be on public libraries, but other types of libraries (academic, special, school) are all third places for their communities. They each welcome members of their particular communities regardless of age and economic status and provide them with access to information, services, and a responsive, usually safe, environment. Traditional libraries provide human contact and social experience and strive to foster service, social equality, and the appreciation of human individuality. In doing this, they support education and socialization needs of society, meet the information needs of a broad spectrum of users, promote self-education, and satisfy the popular tastes of the public.

In 1931, S. R. Ranganathan, librarian and educator, published his book *The Five Laws of Library Science*. The fifth law, “[a] library is a growing organism” is a clear directive for librarians to be constantly thinking of what a library should be doing to meet the evolving needs of users. More than six decades later, Walt Crawford and Michael Gorman (1995, p.8) developed five new laws of librarianship. Their third and fifth laws are “use technology intelligently to enhance services” and “honor the past and create the future.” Both sets of library “laws” are augmented in Thomas Horan’s *Digital Places*

(2000). He rephrases these earlier laws into a form of advice for any institution evolving to accommodate emerging technologies: “At any given point, our social and physical landscape embodies but a moment in ever-changing technological circumstances: a technology comes into being, enables a set of economic and social activities, and then gives way to a new technological platform with its own set of behaviors and consequences” (Horan, 2000, p.3). He goes on to give the example of movie drive-ins as a technology-driven combination that accommodates the popularity of automobiles and movies. Libraries also combined the popularity of mobility with their traditional service, the dissemination of books, and two of the resulting products were bookmobiles and branch libraries. Horan’s description of the New York Science, Industry, and Technology Library in Manhattan includes an explanation from the library’s architects Gwathmey Siegel & Associates: “the contrast between the 1906 Renaissance Revival façade and the elegant modernist interior reflects the balance between the library’s nineteenth-century origins as a temple of wisdom and its twenty-first century role as an emporium of rapidly changing information” (Horan, 2000 p.53). Horan refers to this type of transition as a “recombination.” Another type of recombination is the incorporation of traditional third places such as coffee shops and/or cafes within libraries for both financial and social reasons.

Riewoldt, in *Intelligent Spaces* (1997, p.18) specifically discusses libraries in the context of what he calls “Knowledge Exchanges.” He describes a group of libraries in various parts of the world that he considers exemplars of the recombination of the traditional with the electronic. In reference to one of these buildings, the Phoenix

(Arizona) Public Library he notes “the architect’s skill lies in his ability to transform necessity into metaphor” (Riewoldt, 1997, p.210).

Drive-in movies have now, for the most part, given way to home entertainment systems. While there are still bookmobiles, our computers now take us for journeys on the Information Superhighway, the Internet. A new generation is creating virtual communities and home (pages) in cyberspace. Holland writes: “the term ‘change agent’ is used in the library literature to encompass phenomena and events which drive change, such as new technologies” (2000, pp.105-117).

Designing Virtual Third Places

New communities are forming in cyberspace and Horan asks the question: “How and where do cyberspace and physical space intersect?” (2000 p.6) Internet users are creating a home away from home (often from within their physical home!). They are after information, but they also want a sense of community. Horrigan notes that the Internet has the capacity to “expand users’ social worlds to faraway people and simultaneously to bind them more deeply to the place where they live” (Horrigan, 2001, p.2). There is opportunity for library web site designers to not repeat the mistakes of traditional suburban developers and to attempt to avoid suburban/cyburban sprawl and the alienation accompanying it.

All virtual communities are different. Developers of virtual places should design communities and web sites that are both usable and that support sociability. Not all communities or web sites are alike in their purpose and the purpose of the new community should be clearly stated. Communities and sites are generally not static and

will change and evolve. On-line community developers need to observe what people are doing or trying to do within the community. By doing this, the designer can build support and trust with policies, and also build in new ways to keep users and newcomers interested. Unlike a traditional off-line community, in a virtual community, a person is leaving their body behind. A virtual community designer has to deal with the affects of this phenomenon: although this is changing, there is not much evidence of individual “presence” left during site visits. Finally, as on-line and off-line become increasingly blurred, designers need to study guidelines used by traditional social planners that encourage good social practices. It is inevitable that some types of policies and management will be needed. The community’s methods of access must be considered as not everyone is working with the most recent technology. Along with information design, it is very important that there is some mechanism for dialog support that will encourage emotional involvement. Preece writes: “By better understanding the rules of empathy...we can investigate and design tools to support empathic communication as well as factual information exchange. Well-designed empathic communities will improve the quality of life for thousands of people” (Preece, 1998, pp.32-43).

Horan (2000, p. 15) calls these empathic communities “meaningful places” or “recombinant digital communities. This is similar to the familiar concept of sense of place. Horan describes the digital sense of place in this way:

The notion of meaningful places embodies the need to design digital places in a manner that respects the functional and symbolic associations that places often contain ... Local libraries can consider how new and innovative designs can help them reassert their spatial and electronic presence in the community, including universal access to all community members – museums, schools, - to devise innovative electronic and physical “third-places (Horan, 2000, p.82).

Flexibility is a key facet of the digital universe as it is in the evolution of libraries as virtual third places.

Libraries as Virtual Third Places

Technological advances like electronic information networks are changing many things including the concept of community. Today, users from diverse cultural backgrounds, computer expertise, and interests turn to virtual communities to reach others. Many new communities serve a strong social function as well. What exactly constitutes an on-line community and how the theory of geographical communities relates to cyberspace is debatable, but for many non-commercial Internet users a virtual community is similar to an old-fashioned pre-suburban neighborhood in that it is any virtual space where people come together to get and give information or support and where “distance or time are no longer serious barriers to communication” (Preece, 1998, p.33).

Horrigan (2000, pp.3,8) defines two types of virtual communities: online communities that “foster chatter and connection” and communities online that are “Internet groups based in the community in which they [the users] live.” An online community may be loosely defined as a group of people who interact in cyberspace together over time. A community online, however, is a community that exists offline, and which uses cyberspace as part of community “life.” There are also personal web (home) pages, created as an Internet public face into the private life that an individual is willing to share on-line. New words have rarely been introduced to describe these creations. Traditional spatial/architectural metaphors are plentiful in the presentation of

information as citizens/netizens, knowingly or unknowingly, try to create a familiar sense of place: a presence with mood, colors, atmosphere, that makes a person feel good to be there. There are chat **rooms**, **home** pages, and web **addresses**.

Oldenburg (1989, p.42) describes the need for a traditional, physical “third places,” which “exist on neutral ground and serve to level their guests to a condition of social equality. Within these places, conversation is the primary activity and major vehicle for the display and appreciation of human personality and individuality.”

Horrigan (2000, p.3) writes: “in some ways, online communities have become *virtual third places*. They are homes away from home where users can expand possibilities and playfulness”

Kupersmith (1998, pp.58-67) builds on the earlier works of Ranganathan, Crawford, and Gorman, and lays out implications for those designing catalogs, web sites, and similar systems for libraries. His suggestions are as follows:

- If you do use a virtual-building metaphor, design and implement it appropriately for your user community. As architect Eliel Saarinen (1977) advised, "Always design a thing by considering it in its next larger context." Just as with physical features, what works well in a public library may not fit a large research institution, and vice versa.
- Pay special attention to what the user experiences in the first few seconds of contact. Kristina Hooper (1986, p. 15) points out that the initial screen of a system, often compared to a facade, actually serves the more important function of an entranceway, where users receive their initial cues about what the structure contains and how to proceed.
- Take into account the dynamic nature of computer usage. People moving through your system will

continue to learn about it as they exchange information with it, inputting commands and viewing displays.

- Heed the famous dictum of another architect, Mies Van der Rohe (1959): "God is in the details." For example, just as you would in a building, label web pages with clear titles, the name of the institution, and verbal or graphic navigation aids. In cyberspace, the signs are the structure.

Traditional libraries strive to foster service, social equality, and the appreciation of human individuality and virtual libraries should try to do the same.

Conclusion

Harvard Law School's Berkman Center for Internet & Society Faculty Director Charles Nesson greeted attendees at Harvard's Second International Conference on Internet & Society with a challenge and a question: "The Net needs balance between public and commercial space, just as in real space we need public schools and parks, libraries and art museums, as well as corporations. Is Cyberspace to be a Commons or just a Mall?" (1998) He urged attendees to take responsibility for maintaining a balance between private and public interests on the Internet: "Now is the time to build global parks in cyberspace--to open, nurture and maintain vibrant non-commercial spaces where people can freely create, learn, and play." The spaces should be filled with all types of people: entering, visiting, and returning.

By making virtual society usable and sociable, cyberspace designers can avoid the failures of suburbia and create vibrant "neighborhoods" that are attractive and memorable Solomon (1988, p.65) wrote: "Placelessness is a lousy feeling," and Kunstler concurs:

Americans wonder why their houses lack charm. The word *charm* may seem fussy, trivial, vague. I use it to mean explicitly *that which makes our physical surroundings worth caring about*. It is not a trivial matter, for we are presently suffering on a massive scale the social consequences of living in places that are not worth caring about. Charm is dependent on connectedness, on continuities, on the relation of one thing to another ... I have mentioned the value of conversation and connectedness in our virtual third places, but what may make them charming is that they, as web sites, speak to something in us as individuals (Kunstler, 1993, p.168).

Where we are when we are on-line is as important as who we are, and how we get there plays an interesting supporting role. Mobility is a key concept. Being mobile is being able to move freely or be easily moved. A mobile home is a movable building that people live in and which usually stays in one place, but it can be moved using a vehicle or sometimes its own engine. A mobile phone is connected to the telephone system by radio, rather than by a wire, and can therefore be used anywhere its signals can be received. Mobility is an industry inevitability that will ultimately go beyond wireless connectivity and communication and where current personal computer capabilities can be taken anywhere. The consequences of this reconfiguration are immense. Future advances in the ability to have synchronized voices, pictures, and motion in real-time will cause the current virtual environment to become a more augmented reality with increased face-to-face interaction. Improvements in video and audio technology will force us back into presenting a sociable *real* “public face” in cyberspace. Current virtual communities and web sites should continually be looking for opportunities to increase their range of human experience. What are things you look for in selecting a place to live or “hangout?” Third places, including libraries, need to extend their sense of public “place” and “face” on-line.

Virtual libraries should try not to lose the virtues they have established as traditional third places and should strive to be the model of a virtual third place. The Internet is a door into the future, but it is also a place where memories are cherished as is readily apparent from the many genealogical, memorial, and historical web sites in existence. As library web sites are created and evolve, the library's sense of place as an institution that reflects our culture will become increasingly important, wanted, and needed. Much about connectedness and community on-line can be learned from the concept of third places and their importance in real life and cyberspace. The traditions inherent in libraries as physical third places provide predictions, projections, and inspirations for continued good service in the on-line presence of libraries.

References

- Crawford, W. and Gorman, M. (1995), *Future Libraries: Dreams, Madness, & Reality*, American Library Association, Chicago.
- Duany, A., Plater-Zyberk, E. & Speck, J. (2000), *Suburban Nation: the Rise of Sprawl and the Decline of the American Dream*, North Point Press, New York.
- Fishman, R. (1987), *Bourgeois Utopias: the Rise and Fall of Suburbia*, Basic Books, New York.
- Hiss, T. (1990), *The Experience of Place*, Knopf, New York.
- Holland, M. (2000), “The change agent,” in Reid, B. & Foster, W. (Eds), *Achieving Cultural Change in Networked Libraries*, Gower, Brookfield, VT, pp. 105-117.
- Hooper, K. (1986), “Architectural design: an analogy”, in Norman, D. & Draper, S. (Eds), *User Centered System Design*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, NJ, p. 15.
- Horan, T. (2000), *DigitalPlaces: Building Our City of Bits*, ULI – the Urban Land Institute, Washington, D.C.
- Horrigan, J. (2001, 31 October) “Online communities: networks that nurture long-distance relationships and local ties,” (*Pew Internet & American Life Project*), URL: http://www.pewinternet.org/reports/pdfs/PIP_Communities_Report.pdf (Site visited at 18 November 2003).

Kunstler, J. (1993), *The Geography of Nowhere: the Rise and Decline of America's Man-made Landscape*, Simon & Schuster, New York.

Kupersmith, J. (1998), "You are here, but where is that?: architectural design metaphors in the electronic library," in: LaGuardia, C. (ed.) *Finding Common Ground: Creating a Library of the Future Without Diminishing the Library of the Past* Neal-Schuman, New York, p. 58-67.

Mies van der Rohe, L. (1959), "On restraint in design," *New York Herald Tribune* (28 June).

Nesson, C. (1998), "Chairman's Message To The 1998 Harvard Internet & Society Conference." URL: <http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/chairman.html>
(Site visited at 18 November 2003).

Oldenburg, R. (1989), *The Great Good Place: Cafés, Coffee Shops, Community Centers, Beauty Parlors, General Stores, Bars, Hangouts, and How They Get You Through the Day*, Paragon House, New York.

Preece, J. (1998), "Empathic Communities: Reaching Out Across the Web", *Interactions*, v. 2, March + April, pp. 32-43.

Ranganathan S. R. (1931), *The Five Laws of Library Science*, The Madras Library Association, Madras.

Riewoldt, O. (1997), *Intelligent Spaces: Architecture for the Information Age*, Laurence King, London.

Saarinen, E. (1977), [interview with Eero Saarinen, son of Eliel] *Time* (2 June).

Solomon, D. (1988), "Life on the edge: toward a new suburbia," *Architectural Record*, no. 176, pp. 63+